### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1-24 were pending. By this amendment, claims 1, 11, 19 are amended and claims 25-28 are added leaving claims 1-28 pending consideration.

Claims 1-7 and 10-16 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0120639 (U.S. Patent No. 6,879,988) published for Basin et al. (hereinafter "Basin") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,160,544, issued to Krause et al. (hereinafter "Krause"). Claims 7, 17 and 19-24 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being obvious in view of Basin and Krause, in further view of U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0240065 to Lovvik et al. (hereinafter "Lovvik"). Claims 8-9 and 18 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being obvious in view of Basin, Krause, Lovvik, and U.S. Patent No. 6,722,338 issued to Hull et al. (hereinafter "Hull"), and claims 19-24 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatenable over Basin in view of Lovvik and Krause.

A request for continued examination is submitted herewith to permit entry of the amendments. Applicants aver that no new matter has been entered with this response.

#### **Examiner Interview**

Applicants appreciate the interview with the Examiner on December 9, 2005, where Applicants discussed amendments to the claims to overcome the cited references.

#### §103 Rejections

In the office action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-7 and 10-16 under 35 USC §103(a) as being anticipated by Basin in view of Krause. The Examiner asserts that Basin in view of Krause teaches each element of claim 1 and 11, referring to paragraphs 35-37, 39, and Figures 4, 9 et seq. of Basin and column 3 and 4 et seq. of Krause. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the amendments to claims 1 and 11, and the following arguments.

## Claim 1

Claim 1 as amended, is allowable as the Basin reference and Krause alone or in combination fail to disclose or suggest each element of amended claim 1. For example, claim 1 recites in part, "creating a preview of at least a portion of [a] content package file based on the content in [a] combined file, wherein information rendered by the preview displays at least some of the content extracted from .. first level content files and content from the content package file, wherein the preview displays a simulated version of the content package modified with the content from the combined file, wherein the preview occurs prior to generating a modified content package file from the combined file". Applicant submits that at least these claimed elements are missing from the teachings of the cited references.

While Basin may teach the review of file lists, extraction of selected files from an archive file and opening an extracted file in a viewer, those steps do not necessarily include the replacement of references with content or the creation of a preview simulating the result of processing the content package file before it is processed into a modified content package file, nor does Basin appear to suggest such operations.

The Examiner cited to paragraph 39 and Fig. 9 of Basin as teaching extracting content and replacing references with content, but that paragraph does not appear to disclose or suggest any uses of content. A listing of files and icons might be construed to be references to files, but those do not include content of the files.

The Examiner cited to paragraphs 35-36 and Fig. 9 of Basin teaching creating a preview, but those cites do not appear to disclose the claimed preview. At most, it teaches the presentation of a list of files and allows the user to open a package and view a listing of the files therein. Claim 1, as amended, recites creating a preview wherein information rendered by the preview displays at least some of the content extracted from the first level content files, where the preview is a simulation of the content package file after processing into a modified content package file, which does not appear to be disclosed or suggested by Basin.

Krause does not make up for the lack in Basin. Krause was cited for creating a preview of at least a portion of a content package file based on content from a combined file.

Applicant submits that Krause even if it could be properly combined with Basin, would not provide a combination that would include all of the elements of claim 1.

For at least the reasons stated above, claim 1 is allowable over the cited references and claims 2-10, which depend from claim 1 are allowable over the cited references.

## Claim 11

Claim 11, as amended, is allowable as the Basin reference (and the other cited references) fail to disclose or suggest each element of amended claim 11. For example, claim 11 recites part "code for generating a preview of the content package file based on .. content in [a] combined file, wherein information rendered by the preview displays at least some of the content extracted from .. first level content files and content from the content package file, wherein the preview displays a simulated version of the content package modified with the content from the combined file, wherein the preview occurs prior to generating a modified content package file from the combined file". Applicant submits that at least these claimed elements are missing from the teachings of the cited references.

While Basin may teach the review of file lists, extraction of selected files from an archive file and opening an extracted file in a viewer, Basin appears to fail to disclose or suggest the claimed code for generating a preview, where information rendered by the preview displays at least some of the content extracted from the first level content files in a simulation of a modified version of the content package file prior to the generation of a modified content package file.

Krause does not make up for the lack in Basin, at least for the reasons stated above with reference to claim 1. Therefore, claim 11 and claims 12-18, which depend from claim 11, are allowable over the cited references.

#### Claims 7 and 17

Claims 7 and 17 are rejected as being unpatentable over Basin in view of Krause and in further view of Lovvik, with the Examiner asserting similar arguments as against claim 1.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the amendments to claim 1 and 11 and the following arguments.

The Examiner cited to paragraph 5 of Lovvik was cited for the proposition that it was known to import files to a server and a portal server, but those cites do not appear to disclose the claimed preview. At most, Lovvik teaches a method to receive a ZIP file and accessing a central directory of the ZIP file as it is received. With respect to the arguments stated above with respect to claim 1 and claim 11, Lovvik nor Krause make up for the lack in Basin. Therefore, for at least the reasons stated above, claim 1 and 11 are allowable over the cited references and therefore claim 7, which depends from claim 1, and claim 17 which depends from claim 11, are allowable over the cited references.

## Claims 8 and 9

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected as being unpatentable over Basin in view of Krause, Lovvik, and Hull, with the Examiner asserting similar arguments as against claim 1.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the amendments to claim 8 and 9 and the following arguments.

The Examiner cited to column 7 of Hull was cited for the proposition that it for the proposition that it was known to overwrite duplicative files, but those cites do not appear to disclose the claimed preview. At most, Hull teaches a method to receive a ZIP file and accessing a central directory of the ZIP file as it is received. With respect to the arguments stated above with respect to claim 1, Lovvik, Krause, nor Hull alone or in combination make up for the lack in Basin. Therefore, for at least the reasons stated above, claim 1 is allowable over the cited references and therefore claims 8 and 9, which depend from claim 1 are allowable over the cited references.

## Claim 19

Claim 19 was rejected as being unpatentable over Basin in view of Lovvik, in further view of Krause, with the Examiner asserting similar arguments as against claim 1.

Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the amendments to claim 19 and the following arguments.

Claim 19, as amended, is allowable as the Basin reference (and the other cited references) fail to disclose or suggest each element of amended claim 19. For example, claim 19 recites in part "a client that displays [a] preview screen, wherein information rendered by the preview screen displays at least some of the content extracted from .. first level content files and content from [a] content package file, wherein the preview screen displays a version of the content package file modified with content from [a] combined file, wherein the preview screen displays the modified version of the content package file prior to [a] portal server importing the content package file and the content files from the client" Applicant submits that at least these claimed elements are missing from the teachings of the cited references as none of them describe a client that could display a preview screen that is the claimed preview screen that previews a content package processed into a modified content package file prior to a portal server importing the content package file and content files from the client.

For at least the reasons stated above, claim 19 is allowable over the cited references and claims 20-24, which depend from claim 19, allowable over the cited references.

#### **New Claims**

Claims 25-28 are supported in the specification as filed and in at least FIG. 1.

# **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance and an action to that end is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 415-576-0200.

Respectfully submitted

C. Bart Sullivan Reg. No. 41,516

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: 415-576-0200 Fax: 415-576-0300

CBS:rgy 60673579 v1